

FUJIKI RELATION ON SYMPLECTIC VARIETIES

DAISUKE MATSUSHITA

ABSTRACT. We generalize Fujiki relation of Beauville-Bogomolov quadratic form on a projective symplectic variety. As an application, we study a fibre space structure of a projective symplectic variety.

1. INTRODUCTION

We start with the definition of a *symplectic variety*.

DEFINITION 1.1. *A compact Kähler variety Z is said to be a symplectic variety if Z satisfies the following conditions: For a smooth locus U on Z , there exists a nondegenerate holomorphic 2-form ω on U . This form is extended to a regular form $\tilde{\omega}$ on $\tilde{Z}$, where $\nu : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ is a resolution of singularities of Z .*

In [6, Theorem 8 (2)], Namikawa induced a quadratic form q_Z on $H^2(Z, \mathbb{C})$, which is a natural extension of Beauville-Bogomolov quadratic form defined in [1, Théorème 5] on singular varieties. In [3, Theorem 4.7], Fujiki proved that Beauville-Bogomolov quadratic form has special relation with cup products. We prove that q_Z has same properties.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let Z be a $2n$ -dimensional projective symplectic variety and $\nu : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ a resolution of singularities of Z . Assume that*

- (1) *The codimension of the singular locus of Z is greater than four.*
- (2) *Z has only $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial singularities.*
- (3) *$\dim H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$ and $\dim H^2(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 1$.*

According to [6, Theorem 8 (2)], we define the quadratic form q_Z on $H^2(Z, \mathbb{C})$ by

$$q_Z(\alpha) := n \int_{\tilde{Z}} (\tilde{\omega} \bar{\tilde{\omega}})^{n-1} \tilde{\alpha}^2 + (1 - 2n) \left(\int_{\tilde{Z}} \tilde{\omega}^n \bar{\tilde{\omega}}^{n-1} \tilde{\alpha} \int_{\tilde{Z}} \tilde{\omega}^{n-1} \bar{\tilde{\omega}}^n \tilde{\alpha} \right),$$

where $\tilde{\alpha} := \nu^ \alpha$ and $\alpha \in H^2(Z, \mathbb{C})$. Then $q_Z(\alpha)$ satisfies the following equation:*

$$C_Z q_Z(\alpha)^n = \alpha^{2n}.$$

Note that a constant C_Z depends on only Z . Moreover the index of q_Z is $(3, h^2(Z, \mathbb{C}) - 3)$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14F40, Secondary 14D06.

REMARK 1. By [7, Theorem], when Z is symplectic varieties with only terminal singularities, the condition of codimension of singular locus is always satisfied.

REMARK 2. Namikawa obtained the index of q_Z in [8, Corollary 8] by different method.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let Z be a projective symplectic variety which satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.2 and D a Cartier divisor on Z . Then Riemann-Roch formula of D is expressed as follows:*

$$\chi(D) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k q_Z(D)^k,$$

where a_k ($0 \leq k \leq n$) are constants which depend on only Z .

As an application of Theorem 1.2, we obtain the following result:

COROLLARY 1.4. *Let Z be a $2n$ -dimensional projective symplectic variety which satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.2. Assume that there exists a surjective morphism $f : Z \rightarrow B$ over a projective normal variety B and a general fibre F of f has positive dimension. Then F and B has the following properties:*

- (1) $\dim B = n$ and Picard number of B is one.
- (2) F is an n -dimensional abelian variety.
- (3) For the singular locus Z_{sing} of Z , $f(Z_{\text{sing}})$ forms a proper closed subset of B and the restriction ω to F is identically zero.
- (4) For every effective divisor D of Z , $\dim f(D) \geq \dim B - 1$.

REMARK 3. Comparing the above corollary with [9, Theorem 2], there exist two difference: One is ampleness of $-K_B$ and another one is $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality of B . In section 3, we construct an example such that $-K_B$ is not ample.

We prove Theorem 1.2 and 1.3 in Section 2. A proof of Corollary 1.4 and an example are given in Section 3.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author express his thanks to Professors A. Fujiki and Yo. Namikawa for their advice and encouragement.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

(2.1) We start with the investigation of the rank of q_Z .

LEMMA 2.1. *The rank of q_Z is greater than three.*

PROOF. Let $\nu : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ be a resolution of singularities of Z and $\tilde{\omega}$ a extension of ω on $\tilde{Z}$. By the definition of q_Z , $q_Z(\tilde{\omega} + \bar{\tilde{\omega}}) > 0$ and $q_Z(\tilde{\omega} - i\bar{\tilde{\omega}}) > 0$. Let α be an ample divisor on Z . If we choose a suitable exceptional divisor E , $\nu^*\alpha - E$

becomes an ample divisor. From [6, Remark (1) (p24)], $\tilde{\omega}^{n-1}|_E = 0$. Hence

$$q_Z(\alpha) = n \int_{\tilde{Z}} (\tilde{\omega} \bar{\tilde{\omega}})^{n-1} (\nu^* \alpha - E)^2.$$

Thus $q_Z(\alpha) > 0$ by Hodge-Riemann bilinear relation. $\square$

(2.2) Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the Kuranishi space of Z , $\mathcal{Z}$ the Kuranishi family and $\mathcal{Z}_s$ the fibre at $s \in \mathcal{D}$. By [6, Theorem 8], for every point of $s \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists an isomorphism $\phi_s : H^2(Z, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{Z}_s, \mathbb{C})$. The period map $p : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^2(Z, \mathbb{C}))$ defined by $p(s) := \phi_s^{-1}(\omega_s)$, where ω_s is a symplectic form of $\mathcal{Z}_s$.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $\nu_s : \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_s$ be a resolution of $\mathcal{Z}_s$. We define a quadric form on $H^2(\mathcal{Z}_s, \mathbb{C})$ by*

$$q_{\mathcal{Z}_s}(\alpha) := n \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_s} (\tilde{\omega}_s \bar{\tilde{\omega}}_s)^{n-1} \tilde{\alpha}^2 + (1 - 2n) \left(\int_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_s} \tilde{\omega}_s^n \bar{\tilde{\omega}}_s^{n-1} \tilde{\alpha} \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_s} \tilde{\omega}_s^{n-1} \bar{\tilde{\omega}}_s^n \tilde{\alpha} \right),$$

where $\tilde{\alpha} := \nu_s^* \alpha$ and $\alpha \in H^2(\mathcal{Z}_s, \mathbb{C})$. Then $q_{\mathcal{Z}_s}$ is not depend the choice of $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_s$ and

$$q_Z(\alpha) = q_Z(\phi_s^{-1}(\omega_s + \bar{\omega}_s)) q_{\mathcal{Z}_s}(\phi_s(\alpha)).$$

PROOF. We prove that $q_{\mathcal{Z}_s}$ is independent of the choice of resolution by similar argument in the proof of [6, Theorem 8 (2)] and obtain the rank of $q_{\mathcal{Z}_s}$ is greater than three by similar argument of Lemma 2.1. The period map $p_s : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^2(\mathcal{Z}_s, \mathbb{C}))$ is defined by $p_s(t) := \phi_s(p(t))$.

CLAIM 2.3. *Let $Q_s := \{q_{\mathcal{Z}_s}(\alpha) = 0 | \alpha \in H^2(\mathcal{Z}_s, \mathbb{C})\}$. Then $p_s(\mathcal{D}) \subset Q_s$.*

PROOF. Let $\alpha \in H^2(\mathcal{Z}_s, \mathbb{C})$. For the smooth locus of $\mathcal{U}_s$ of $\mathcal{Z}_s$, there exists Hodge decomposition of $H^2(\mathcal{U}_s, \mathbb{C})$ and an isomorphism $H^2(\mathcal{U}_s, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^2(\mathcal{Z}, \mathbb{C})$ by [6, Theorem 8]. Hence we write α as $\alpha = a\omega_s + w + b\bar{\omega}_s$. By direct calculation

$$\int_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_s} \tilde{\alpha}^{n+1} \bar{\tilde{\omega}}_s^{n-1} = (n+1) q_{\mathcal{Z}_s}(\alpha) \left(\int_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_s} \tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\omega}_s^{n-1} \bar{\tilde{\omega}}_s^n \right)^{n-1}.$$

Let E be an irreducible component of the exceptional locus of $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_s$. Assume $\alpha := \phi_s \phi_t^{-1}(\omega_t)$. Then $\tilde{\alpha}^{n+1}|_{\mathcal{U}_s} = 0$ because $\alpha|_{\mathcal{U}_s}$ is a holomorphic two form on the smooth locus $\mathcal{U}_t$ of $\mathcal{Z}_t$ and $\mathcal{U}_t$ is deformation equivalent to $\mathcal{U}_s$ by [6, Theorem 8]. By [6, Remark (1) (p24)], $\tilde{\omega}_s^{n-1}|_E = 0$. Hence the left hand side equals zero. If t is very near to s , we obtain $\int_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_s} \tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\omega}_s^{n-1} \bar{\tilde{\omega}}_s^n \neq 0$. Thus we obtain $q_{\mathcal{Z}_s}(\alpha) = 0$. $\square$

We continue the proof of Lemma 2.2. By the above Claim, ϕ_s maps an open set of Q_0 to an open set of Q_s isomorphically. Since both quadric surfaces are irreducible, we obtain that $\phi_s(Q_0) = Q_s$. Since $q_{\mathcal{Z}_s}(\omega_s + \bar{\omega}_s) = 1$, we are done. $\square$

(2.3) The following Lemma is the key of the proof of Theorem 1.2 and 1.3 which is based on arguments in [2, Lemma 1.9] and [4, Theorem 5.6].

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $\nu : \tilde{\mathcal{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be a resolution of $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{D}^\circ$ the open set of $\mathcal{D}$ such that the morphism $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is smooth over $\mathcal{D}^\circ$. We fix one point s of $\mathcal{D}^\circ$. Let $\alpha \in H^2(\mathcal{Z}_s, \mathbb{C})$ and τ a (k, k) -form on $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_s$. If τ is a (k, k) -form on every fibre $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_t$ near s ,*

$$(1) \quad \tau \cdot \nu^* \alpha^{2(n-k)} = C_k q_{\mathcal{Z}_s}(\alpha)^{n-k},$$

where C_k is a constant depending on only $\mathcal{Z}_s$.

PROOF. Let S_s be the hypersurface in $H^2(\mathcal{Z}_s, \mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$\{\tau \cdot \nu^* \alpha^{2(n-k)} = 0 \mid \alpha \in H^2(\mathcal{Z}_s, \mathbb{C})\}.$$

If we prove that $Q_s = S_s$, we obtain equation (1) because both hand sides of (1) have same degree and same zero locus. We choose an open set V of Q_s which is contained in $p_s(\mathcal{D}^\circ)$. For every point $\alpha \in V$, there exists the point t of $\mathcal{D}^\circ$ such that α defines a symplectic form on the smooth part of $\mathcal{Z}_t$. Thus $\tau \cdot (\nu^* \alpha)^{n-k+1} = 0$ in $H^{2n+2}(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_t, \mathbb{C})$ and hence $\tau \cdot (\nu^* \alpha)^{n-k+1} = 0$ in $H^{2n+2}(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_s, \mathbb{C})$. By analytic continuation, we obtain $\tau \cdot (\nu^* \alpha)^{n-k+1} = 0$ for every point of Q_s . Therefore we obtain $Q_s \subset S_s$. We prove the opposite inclusion. Assume the contrary. Then there exists $\beta \in H^2(\mathcal{Z}_s, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\tau \cdot \nu^* \beta^{2(n-k)} = 0$ and $\beta \notin Q_s$. We choose a general element γ of $H^2(\mathcal{Z}_s, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\tau \cdot \gamma^{2(n-k)} \neq 0$. Then the line which pass β and γ intersect Q_s with two points. Let δ_0 and δ_1 be these points. We write

$$\beta = \lambda_{11} \delta_0 + \lambda_{12} \delta_1, \quad \gamma = \lambda_{21} \delta_0 + \lambda_{22} \delta_1.$$

We remark that $\lambda_{**} \neq 0$ because β , γ and δ_* are mutually distinct. Since $\tau \cdot (\nu^* \delta_0)^{n-k+1} = \tau \cdot (\nu^* \delta_1)^{n-k+1} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \cdot (\nu^* \beta)^{2(n-k)} &= {}_{2(n-k)} C_{n-k} \lambda_{11}^{n-k} \lambda_{12}^{n-k} \delta_0^{n-k} \delta_1^{n-k} = 0 \\ \tau \cdot (\nu^* \gamma)^{2(n-k)} &= {}_{2(n-k)} C_{n-k} \lambda_{21}^{n-k} \lambda_{22}^{n-k} \delta_0^{n-k} \delta_1^{n-k} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

That derives a contradiction. □

(2.4)PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. From Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$C_Z q_{\mathcal{Z}_s}(\phi_s(\alpha))^n = \phi_s(\alpha)^{2n}.$$

Since $\phi_s(\alpha)^{2n} = \alpha^{2n}$, we obtain that $C_Z q_Z(\alpha)^n = \alpha^{2n}$ by Lemma 2.2. We investigate the index of q_Z . From [6, Proposition 9], there exists Hodge decomposition of $H^2(Z, \mathbb{C})$. Let A be an ample divisor on Z and H an element of $H^{1,1}(Z, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $q_Z(H, A) = 0$. We consider the following equation:

$$(2) \quad C_Z q_Z(\lambda H + A)^n = (\lambda H + A)^{2n}.$$

If we compare λ term of both hand sides, we obtain $H \cdot A^{2n-1} = 0$ from the assumption $q_Z(A, H) = 0$. By Hodge-Riemann bilinear relation,

$$H^2 \cdot A^{2n-2} \cdot A^{2n} \leq (H \cdot A^{2n-1})^2 = 0.$$

Hence $H^2.A^{2n-2} < 0$ if $H \not\equiv 0$. Comparing λ^2 term of the both hand side of the equation (2), we obtain $q_Z(H) < 0$ if $H \not\equiv 0$. Combining Lemma 2.1, the index of q_Z is $(3, h^2(Z, \mathbb{C}) - 3)$. $\square$

(2.5) PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3. From the proof of (1) of [6, Theorem 8],

$$\phi_s : H^2(Z, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^2(\mathcal{Z}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{Z}_s, \mathbb{C})$$

is isomorphism. Hence $\chi_Z(D) = \chi_{\mathcal{Z}_s}(\phi_s(D))$. By Lemma 2.2, it is enough to prove that there exists constants C_k ($0 \leq k \leq n$) and they satisfy

$$\chi_{\mathcal{Z}_s}(D) = \sum_{i=0}^n C_i q_{\mathcal{Z}_s}(D)^i,$$

for a Cartier divisor D of $\mathcal{Z}_s$. Let us consider $\chi_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_s}(\nu^*D)$. By [6, Theorem 6], $\mathcal{Z}_s$ has only rational singularities. Thus $\chi_{\mathcal{Z}_s}(D) = \chi_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_s}(\nu^*D)$. By Serre duality

$$\chi_{\mathcal{Z}_s}(D) = \chi_{\mathcal{Z}_s}(-D).$$

Hence each term of Riemann-Roch formula of $\chi_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_s}(\nu^*D)$ is expressed

$$(\text{Polynomial of Chern classes of } \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_s) \cdot (\nu^*D)^{2k}.$$

Since polynomials of Chern classes remain (k, k) -form under small deformation, we obtain each term of Riemann-Roch formula is expressed as $C_k q_{\mathcal{Z}_s}(D)^k$ by Lemma 2.4. $\square$

3. FIBRE SPACE STRUCTURE

(3.1) We prove Corollary 1.4 in three steps:

Step 1: $\dim B = n$.

Step 2: For a general fibre F of f , the restriction of a symplectic form on the smooth locus of F is identically zero.

Step 3: F is an Abelian variety and $f(Z_{\text{sing}})$ forms a proper closed subset of B .

Step 4: $\rho(B) = 1$

Step 5: For every effective divisor D on Z , $\dim f(D) \geq n - 1$.

We fix some notations. Let A be an ample divisor on Z and H an ample divisor on B .

(3.2) STEP 1. From Theorem 1.2,

$$C_Z q_Z(A + \lambda f^*H)^n = (A + \lambda f^*H)^{2n}.$$

Since $C_Z q_Z(f^*H) = (f^*H)^{2n} = 0$, we obtain

$$(3) \quad C_Z(q_Z(A) + 2\lambda q_Z(A, f^*H))^n = (A + \lambda f^*H)^{2n}.$$

If we compare both hand sides the above equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A^k \cdot (f^*H)^{2n-k} &= 0, \quad (k < n) \\ 2nA^{2n-1} \cdot f^*H &= C_Z q_Z(A, f^*H) \cdot q_Z(A)^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, $q_Z(A) > 0$. Hence $q_Z(A, f^*H) > 0$ and

$$A^k \cdot (f^*H)^{2n-k} > 0, \quad (k \geq n).$$

Hence $\dim B = n$.

(3.3) STEP 2. Let F be a general fibre of f and U_F the smooth part of F . In order to prove $\omega|_{U_F} \equiv 0$, we prove

$$\int_F \omega \wedge \bar{\omega} A^{n-2} = 0.$$

We have

$$\int_F \omega \wedge \bar{\omega} A^{n-2} = c(\omega \bar{\omega} A^{n-2} (f^*H)^n),$$

where c is a nonzero constant. Hence we will show $\omega \bar{\omega} A^{n-2} (f^*H)^n = 0$. By Theorem 1.2,

$$C_Z q_Z(\omega + \bar{\omega} + sA + tf^*H, \omega + \bar{\omega} + sA + tf^*H)^n = (\omega + \bar{\omega} + sA + tf^*H)^{2n}.$$

Calculating the left hand side, we obtain

$$C_Z (q_Z(\omega + \bar{\omega}) + s^2 q_Z(A) + 2s q_Z(\omega + \bar{\omega}, A) + 2t q_Z(\omega + \bar{\omega}, f^*H) + 2st q_Z(A, f^*H))^n.$$

From the definition of q_Z in Theorem 1.2,

$$q_Z(\omega + \bar{\omega}, A) = q_Z(\omega + \bar{\omega}, f^*H) = 0.$$

Thus we conclude that $\omega \bar{\omega} A^{n-2} (f^*H)^n = 0$ by comparing the $s^{n-2}t^n$ term of both hands sides.

(3.4) STEP 3. Let U be the smooth locus of Z . If we choose a point x of B generally, $f^\circ : U \rightarrow B$ is smooth at x and $U_F = F \cap U$. We consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & f^*T_{B,x} & \rightarrow & T_U & \rightarrow & T_{F|U_F} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \Omega_{U_F}^1 & \rightarrow & \Omega_U^1 & \rightarrow & f^*\Omega_{B,x}^1 \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

From the above diagram and Step 2, $h^0(T_{U_F}) = n$. Then F is an Abelian variety by the following Lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let F be a normal variety such that $K_F \sim \mathcal{O}_F$ and $h^0(T_{U_F}) = \dim F$, where U_F is the smooth locus of F . Then F is an Abelian variety.*

PROOF. Since F is normal, $\dim(F \setminus U_F) \leq \dim F - 2$. Hence $h^0(\Theta_F) = \dim F$ by analytic continuation. Let $\tilde{F}$ be a resolution of F . Then $h^0(T_{\tilde{F}}) = h^0(\Theta_F) = \dim F$. Since $K_F \sim \mathcal{O}_F$, we have an injection $T_{\tilde{F}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{F}}^{\dim F - 1}$. Hence $h^{\dim F - 1}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{F}}) = h^0(\Omega_{\tilde{F}}^{\dim F - 1}) \geq \dim F$. By Serre duality $h^{\dim F - 1}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{F}}) = h^1(K_{\tilde{F}})$. From Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing theorem, $h^1(K_{\tilde{F}}) = h^1(\pi_* K_{\tilde{F}}) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_F)$. Hence $h^1(F, \mathcal{O}_F) \geq \dim F$. By [5, Theorem 13], F is an abelian variety. $\square$

Since F is a complete intersection in Z , Z is smooth in a neighbourhood of F and $f(Z_{\text{sing}})$ forms a proper closed subset of B .

(3.5) STEP 4. Let D be a Cartier divisor on B . We prove that $f^*(D + \lambda H) \equiv 0$ for a suitable number λ . From Theorem 1.2, q_Z is nondegenerate. Hence it is enough to prove that

$$q_Z(f^*(D + \lambda H)) = q_Z(f^*(D + \lambda H), A) = 0.$$

From Theorem 1.2, we obtain $C_Z q_Z(f^*(D + \lambda H))^n = (f^*(D + \lambda H))^{2n} = 0$ for every λ . Hence if we choose λ suitably, we obtain $q_Z(f^*(D + \lambda H), A) = 0$. $\square$

(3.6) STEP 5. Let D be an effective divisor on Z . We derive a contradiction assuming that $\dim f(D) < \dim B - 1$. Under this assumption, we obtain that $D \cdot f^* H^{n-1}$ is numerically trivial. The following equation

$$C_Z q_Z(D + t f^* H)^n = (D + t f^* H)^n$$

tells us that $q_Z(D, f^* H) = 0$ because $D^n f^* H^n = 0$. We consider the following equation:

$$(sD + t f^* H + A)^{2n} = C_Z q_Z(sD + t f^* H + A)^n.$$

Comparing st^{n-1} -term of the both hand sides, we obtain that $q_Z(D, A) q_Z(A, f^* H) = 0$. For an effective divisor E on Z , we consider the following equation:

$$C_Z q_Z(tE + A)^n = (tE + A)^{2n}.$$

Comparing t -term of the both hand sides, we obtain that $q_Z(D, A) > 0$ and $q_Z(f^* H, A) > 0$. That derives a contradiction. $\square$

(3.7) Under the conditions of Corollary 1.4, there exists an example such that $-K_B$ is not ample.

EXAMPLE. Let E_i be an elliptic curve. We consider the abelian 6-fold $\tilde{Z} := E_1 \times \cdots \times E_6$. Let G be the finite subgroup of $\text{GL}(6, \mathbb{C})$ generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_6^5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where ζ_n means a n -th root of unity. Then the quotient $Z := \tilde{Z}/G$ satisfies the conditions Theorem 1.2 and it admits a fibration $Z \rightarrow (E_1 \times E_3 \times E_5)/G$. By direct calculation, $K_{E^3/G} \equiv 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beauville, *Variétés kähleriennes dont la première classes de Chern est nulle*, J. Diff. Geom., **18** (1983), 755–782.
- [2] F.A. Bogomolov, *On the cohomology ring of a simple hyperkähler manifold*, Geom. Funct. Anal., **6** (1996), 612–618.
- [3] A. Fujiki, *On the de Rham Cohomology Group of a Compact Kähler Symplectic Manifold*, in *Algebraic geometry*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol **10**, (ed. T. Oda), Kinokuniya and North-Holland (1987), 105–165.
- [4] D. Huybrechts, *Compact hyperkähler manifolds*, Habilitation (1997), <http://www.mi.uni-koeln.de/~huybrech/artikel.htm/HKhabmod.ps>
- [5] Y. Kawamata, *Characterization of abelian varieties*, Comp. Math., **43**, (1981), 253–276.
- [6] Y. Namikawa, *Extension of 2-forms and symplectic varieties*, to appear J. Reine. Angew. Math.
- [7] ———, *A note on symplectic singularities*, math.AG/0101028.
- [8] ———, *Projectivity criterion of Moishezon spaces and density of projective symplectic varieties*, math.AG/0101019.
- [9] D. Matsushita, *On fibre space structures of a projective irreducible symplectic manifold*, Topology, **38** (1999), 79–81.

DIVISION OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY,
SAPPORO, 060-0810 JAPAN

E-mail address: matusita@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp